

**Around 5,000 signatures were collected on these two petitions. They were turned in to the City Clerk on November 14, 2011.**

**Initiative Petition #1 - Kansas City, Missouri**

**Removal of City financial involvement in production of nuclear weapons components**

1) The City of Kansas City, Missouri (“the City”) shall not enter into any future contracts whereby it will be directly financially involved in any facilities that produce or procure components for, assemble, or refurbish nuclear weapons except for outside infrastructure improvements customarily provided by cities. The City is also barred from funding or subsidizing such a facility through taxes, bonds, loans, tax credits, credit, or any other financial scheme or mechanism.

2) The City shall use all means consistent with law and existing contractual obligations to divest itself as soon as reasonably feasible of current municipal bonds which finance or subsidize any facility which produces or procures any parts for, assembles, or refurbishes nuclear weapons.

3) From the effective date of this ordinance, no municipal agency, nor any body or entity controlled or appointed by the City, is authorized to own or lease any facilities involved in nuclear weapons production or procurement, assembly, or refurbishing.

4) The provisions of this Ordinance are severable. If any court of competent jurisdiction decides that any section, clause, sentence, part, or provision of this Ordinance is illegal, invalid, or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect, impair, or invalidate any of the remaining sections, clauses, sentences, parts, or provisions of the Ordinance.

**Initiative Petition #2 - Kansas City, Missouri**

**Safeguarding jobs with contingency plans for nuclear weapons facilities**

1) The City of Kansas City, Missouri (“the City”) shall provide for the professional preparation of at least one economic conversion plan at any nuclear-weapons components production and procurement facilities within its boundaries, whether well-established or being built for the purpose, for the contingency that the federal government exercises its established contractual option of vacating the premises, or fails to make appropriations for the continued use of the facility, or that such facilities become abandoned or under-utilized. Such plans will include technical specifications, financial calculations, and provisions for any necessary program for retraining workers to the skills required at the converted facility, so that potential developers can be contacted quickly and will have adequate information to find the proposal attractive to their interests.

2) Production of new environmentally sound energy or other environmental technologies which will benefit the residents of the municipality and surrounding areas should be considered as an option for these facilities.

3) The plan or plans are to be reviewed and updated annually until such time as the facility has been successfully converted to other uses or appropriate contracts are in place to do so.

4) The plan or plans are to be made available for public inspection, allowing for public comment for improvement.